



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/394,096	09/13/1999	PAUL JOSEPH DAVIS	DAVIS6-9-5	3701
7	590 06/07/2005	EXAMINER		
WILLIAM H	BOLLMAN	SING, SIMON P		
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC				
2000 M STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 700			2645	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-3307		DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/394,096	DAVIS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Simon Sing	2645

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED ON 05/10/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a I this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appea (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. following time periods:	Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, which I fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
 a)	ng date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEI MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	N THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFF been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the fine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	f the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period AMENDMENTS 	11.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filin (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of f NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	inally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	f Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a s the non-allowable claim(s). 	eparate, timely filed amendment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	b) M will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered be affidavit or other evidence is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prientered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections und showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presentation.	er appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claim REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	s after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applicants' arguements that Li (US 5,646,990) does not teach speakerphone or telephone line has a receiving noth and a transmitting noth, are invalid. First Li	at all, and it is not inherent that a telephone
or telephone line has a receiving path and a transmitting path, are invalid. First Li figures 1 and 2. Li states: "The full-duplex speakerphone is suitable for simultaneously user 140 may speak and listen simultaneously with the remote user 142 (figure preferred embodiment of a full-duplex speakerphone, if the system is in a double communication (column 6, lines 11-19)". Li clearly teaches a full-duplex speakerphone, has a receiving path for receiving voice signals from a far end party voice signal to the far end party. Figure 2 of Li shows a receiving path comprising	bus two-way communications in which the ire 1; column 4, lines 63-66)" and "the e-talk mode, i.e. simultaneous two-way hone. Secondly, any telephone, including y, and a transmitting path for transmitting amplifier 268, A/D converter 262, Rx AGC
246, D/A converter 232 and Speaker 236, and a transmitting path from Microphone 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	
13. Other:	
	FAN TSANG SLIDEDVISORY DATENT EVANABLED
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
	Ja. 7

Jan.)